

DERIVATIONS FOR A CLASS OF MATRIX FUNCTION ALGEBRAS

BENTON L. DUNCAN

ABSTRACT. We study a class of matrix function algebras, here denoted $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$. We introduce a notion of point derivations, and classify the point derivations for certain finite dimensional representations of $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$. We use point derivations and information about $n \times n$ matrices to show that every $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ -valued derivation on $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is inner.

Certain matrix function algebras arise in some standard constructions in the theory of non-selfadjoint operator algebras. They have been studied as semicrossed product operator algebras, see in particular [1] and [6]. More recently this same class of algebras have been realized as directed graph operator algebras, see [12, Example 6.5].

Directed graph operator algebras have been studied in relation to the standard commutative example, $A(\mathbb{D})$, the algebra of holomorphic functions in the unit disk with continuous extensions to $\mathbb{T}$. For example the automorphism groups of certain graph operator algebras have connections to the automorphism group of $A(\mathbb{D})$, see [1] when the directed graph is a cycle and [5] when the directed graph has a single vertex. Another example is the description of the ideal theory for directed graph operator algebras given in [10].

In this paper we extend descriptions of point derivations of the disk algebra, see [3, Section 1.6] or [2], to a notion of point derivations on the directed graph operator algebras coming from cycles. We exploit the structure of these directed graph operator algebras as matrix function algebras to describe when point derivations occur.

In the first section we define our algebras and establish some notation. In the second section we define a notion of point derivation and prove some preliminary results. In particular, we introduce inner point derivations and look for ways to tell whether a point derivation is inner. In the third section we apply these results to describe the point derivations of the matrix function algebras. We are able to classify

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47L75, 46H35.

Key words and phrases. matrix function algebras, point derivations, derivations, graph operator algebras, semicrossed products.

when non-inner point derivations arise depending only on the representation, which is in turn related to “points” in the set of maximal ideals. In the last section we use the point derivations to show that every derivation is inner.

1. BACKGROUND AND NOTATION

We begin by defining the class of matrix function algebras we will study in this paper. From directed graphs, they arise as the left regular representation of the directed graphs with n vertices and n edges connecting each successive vertex in turn, to form a single loop, or n -cycle. We will use the notation $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ for these algebras, where n is the length of the cycle in the algebra.

We can view $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ as a matrix function algebra of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{1,1}(z^n) & z f_{1,2}(z^n) & z^2 f_{1,3}(z^n) & \cdots & z^{n-1} f_{1,n}(z^n) \\ z^{n-1} f_{2,1}(z^n) & f_{2,2}(z^n) & z f_{2,3}(z^n) & \cdots & z^{n-2} f_{2,n}(z^n) \\ z^{n-2} f_{3,1}(z^n) & z^{n-1} f_{3,2}(z^n) & f_{3,3}(z^n) & \cdots & z^{n-3} f_{3,n}(z^n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z f_{n,1}(z^n) & z^2 f_{n,2}(z^n) & z^3 f_{n,3}(z^n) & \cdots & f_{n,n}(z^n) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $f_{i,j} \in A(\mathbb{D})$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

These algebras inherit a matricial norm from the matricial norm on $A(\mathbb{D})$ as $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ can be viewed as sitting inside $M_n \otimes A(\mathbb{D})$. We will denote by $A(z^n)$ the algebra $\{f(z^n) : f \in A(\mathbb{D})\}$. Notice that $A(z^n)$ is a subalgebra of $A(\mathbb{D})$ for all n .

In what follows, A will always denote an operator algebra, and by representation we mean a continuous representation of A as an algebra of operators acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We will denote the elementary matrices with a 1 in the i -th diagonal spot by e_{ii} . The notation $x = [x_{ij}]$ will denote an $n \times n$ matrix, where the i - j entry is x_{ij} . We will write $\ell(i, j)$ for the formula $|i - j| \pmod{n}$. So that the above matrix form of $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ can be written as

$$\{[z^{\ell(i,j)} f_{i,j}(z^n)] : f_{i,j} \in A(\mathbb{D}) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}.$$

Lastly, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ define $Z_i \in \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ as the matrix with z in the i -($i+1$) position and zeroes elsewhere. Define $Z_n \in \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ as the matrix with z in the n -1 position and zeroes everywhere else. It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is generated by the set $\{e_{ii}, Z_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. This shorthand will be used later when dealing with specific matrices.

2. NONCOMMUTATIVE POINT DERIVATIONS

Some authors take the definition that follows as the definition of derivation, see Chapter 9 in [13] for example. We use this notation since we wanted to emphasize the connection between the derivation and the particular representation. This particular definition also emphasizes the connections with point derivations from [3] which we exploit in later sections.

Definition 1. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be a representation of A . We say that a continuous linear map $D : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a *point derivation at π* if $D(ab) = D(a)\pi(b) + \pi(a)D(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Of course the function $D(a) = 0$ is a derivation. We refer to this derivation as the trivial, or zero, derivation. We begin by identifying a special class of derivations, of which the trivial derivation is a special case.

Definition 2. For $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ a representation of the operator algebra A and for $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ we define the function $\delta_X : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by $\delta_X(a) = \pi(a)X - X\pi(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

Linearity of δ_X is obvious. If we let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence in A , then $\lim(\pi(a)X - X\pi(a)) = \pi(\lim a_n)X - X\pi(\lim a_n) = \delta_X(\lim a_n)$ and hence δ_X is continuous. We can also see this by noting that $\|\delta_X\| \leq 2\|\pi\|\|X\|$.

Proposition 1. If $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation and $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ then the function δ_X is a continuous derivation at π .

Proof. It remains to show only that δ_X is a derivation. Let $a, b \in A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_X(ab) &= \pi(ab)X - X\pi(ab) \\ &= \pi(a)\pi(b)X - \pi(a)X\pi(b) + \pi(a)X\pi(b) - X\pi(a)\pi(b) \\ &= \pi(a)\delta_X(b) + \delta_X(a)\pi(b). \end{aligned}$$

■

Definition 3. Letting $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be a representation of the operator algebra A we say that a derivation at π of the form δ_X is an *inner derivation at π* .

If the range of π is $\mathbb{C}$ non-trivial inner derivations do not arise. However, in a noncommutative setting they often do.

Proposition 2. Assume that $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation such that $\text{ran } \pi$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. There exists $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\delta_X \neq 0$.

Proof. It is well known that $B(\mathcal{H})' = \mathbb{C}$. Since, $\text{ran } \pi$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $\pi(a) \notin B(\mathcal{H})'$. In other words, there is $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $X\pi(a) \neq \pi(a)X$. It follows that δ_X is nontrivial. ■

What follows is a theorem that, in certain cases, will allow us to distinguish the inner derivations from other derivations.

Theorem 1. *Let $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathbb{C}^{nk})$ be a representation such that*

$$\text{ran } \pi \cong \oplus_{i=1}^n M_k$$

where $k \geq 1$ and n is finite. A derivation D at π is inner if and only if $D|_{\ker \pi} \equiv 0$.

Proof. Assume first, that D is inner at π . Then for $a \in \ker \pi$, $D(a) = \pi(a)X - X\pi(a)$ for some $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Now $\pi(a) = 0$ and hence $D(a) = 0$. As a was an arbitrary element of the kernel the forward direction follows.

Now suppose that $\ker \pi$ is in the kernel of D . We define a map $\widehat{D} : \text{ran } \pi \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by $\widehat{D}(\pi(a)) = D(a)$. If $\pi(a) = \pi(b)$, then $a - b \in \ker \pi$ and hence $D(a - b) = 0$. It follows, by linearity of D , that $D(a) = D(b)$ and hence the map $\widehat{D}$ is well defined.

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}(\pi(a)\pi(b)) &= \widehat{D}(\pi(ab)) \\ &= D(ab) \\ &= D(a)\pi(b) + \pi(a)D(b) \\ &= \widehat{D}(\pi(a))\pi(b) + \pi(a)\widehat{D}(\pi(b)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\widehat{D}$ defines a derivation on $\text{ran } \pi$. Further, since $\text{ran } \pi$ is finite dimensional it follows that $\widehat{D}$ is continuous.

Notice that if $n = 1$ then as M_k is simple every M_k valued derivation is inner, [11]. If $n > 1$ we can use exact sequences of cohomology groups, see [8] to see that a continuous $B(\mathbb{C}^{nk})$ -valued derivation on

$$\oplus_{i=1}^n M_k$$

is inner. Hence there is $X \in M_{nk}$ such that $\widehat{D}(\pi(a)) = \pi(a)X - X\pi(a)$. Since $\widehat{D}(\pi(a)) = D(a)$ the result now follows. ■

The next two propositions give us a short method of checking whether non-inner derivations can occur at π . For an ideal M , we denote by M^2 the algebraic ideal generated by elements of the form bc such that $b, c \in M$. We will denote the norm closure of the ideal M^2 by $\overline{M^2}$.

Proposition 3. *If $\ker \pi = \overline{(\ker \pi)^2}$ for a representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$, then for a continuous derivation D at π , $D|_{\ker \pi} \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Let $a = bc$ where $b, c \in \ker \pi$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D(a) &= D(bc) \\ &= \pi(b)D(c) + D(b)\pi(c) \\ &= 0D(c) + D(b)0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\ker \pi)^2$ is the ideal generated by elements of the form bc where $b, c \in \ker \pi$ it follows that $D|_{(\ker \pi)^2} \equiv 0$. Now, continuity of D yields the result. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 4. *If the kernel of the representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ has a bounded left (right) approximate identity then any continuous derivation D at π is identically zero on $\ker \pi$.*

Proof. Let $\{e_\lambda\}$ be a bounded left (right) approximate identity in $\ker \pi$. Then for any $f \in \ker \pi$ we know that $\lim e_\lambda f = f$. But notice that $e_\lambda f \in (\ker \pi)^2$ and hence $D(e_\lambda f) = 0$ for all λ . As D is continuous it follows that $D(f) = 0$. As f was arbitrary the result follows. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 1. *If A is a C^* -algebra and π is a $*$ -representation then every derivation at π is identically zero on $\ker \pi$.*

Proof. It is well known that the kernel of a $*$ -representation is a $*$ -ideal. Further every $*$ -ideal in a C^* -algebra is a C^* -algebra and hence has an approximate identity. The result now follows. $\blacksquare$

3. POINT DERIVATIONS ON $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$

Definition 4. For $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ we define the representation $\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow M_n$ by

$$\varphi_\lambda([z^{\ell(i,j)} f_{i,j}(z^n)]) = [\lambda^{\ell(i,j)} f_{i,j}(\lambda^n)].$$

Notice that for $\lambda \neq 0$ the range of φ_λ is isomorphic to M_n . It follows that $\ker(\varphi_\lambda)$ is a maximal ideal of type λ , see [1]. In contrast, the range of φ_0 is the diagonal matrices in M_n . It is not the case that the kernel of φ_0 is a maximal ideal.

The representations of the form φ_λ are enough to ensure semisimplicity of $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ a well known result for certain graph operator algebras, see [4], or [10], and semicrossed products, see [14]. We include the proof in this context for completeness, and since it is not difficult.

Proposition 5. *The algebras $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ are semisimple.*

Proof. Let

$$a = [z^{\ell(i,j)} f_{i,j}(z^n)].$$

Assume that $\varphi_\lambda(a) = 0$ for all $0 < |\lambda| < 1$. Then in particular, $f_{i,j}(\lambda^n) = 0$ for all $0 < |\lambda| < 1$. But since $f_{i,j}(z^n)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and identically zero on a set containing a limit point in $\mathbb{D}$ then $f_{i,j}(z^n) \equiv 0$ for all i, j . Hence $a = (0)$ and the result follows. ■

We now define another important class of representations.

Definition 5. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ define the representation $\varphi_{i,0} : \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varphi_{i,0}([z^{\ell(i,j)} f_{i,j}(z^n)]) = f_{i,i}(0).$$

For these representations, the range is $\mathbb{C}$ and hence the kernels give rise to maximal ideals which, in the notation of [1], are of type 0. Notice also, that since the range is $\mathbb{C}$ there will be no inner derivations at $\varphi_{0,i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. More is actually true.

Proposition 6. For $n \geq 2$, there is no nontrivial point derivation at $\varphi_{0,i} : \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow M_n$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. We will prove the result for $i = 1$, the general case proceeds in a similar fashion. A simple calculation tells us that

$$\ker \varphi_{0,1} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z^n f_{1,1}(z^n) & z f_{1,2}(z^n) & z^2 f_{1,3}(z^n) & \cdots & z^{n-1} f_{1,n}(z^n) \\ z^{n-1} f_{2,1}(z^n) & f_{2,2}(z^n) & z f_{2,3}(z^n) & \cdots & z^{n-2} f_{2,n}(z^n) \\ z^{n-2} f_{3,1}(z^n) & z^{n-1} f_{3,2}(z^n) & f_{3,3}(z^n) & \cdots & z^{n-3} f_{3,n}(z^n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z f_{n,1}(z^n) & z^2 f_{n,2}(z^n) & z^3 f_{n,3}(z^n) & \cdots & f_{n,n}(z^n) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

where $f_{i,j} \in A(\mathbb{D})$ for all i, j . Multiplying two general elements of $\ker \varphi_{0,1}$ together one can verify that $\ker \varphi_{0,1} = (\ker \varphi_{0,1})^2$. Using Proposition 3 together with Theorem 1 we know that every derivation at $\varphi_{0,1}$ is inner. But since $\text{ran } \varphi_{0,i} = \mathbb{C}$ any inner derivation is the zero derivation. The result now follows. ■

Unlike the previous class of representations, the representations φ_λ give rise to derivations which are inner at φ_λ . It is the derivations which are not inner at φ_λ which interest us so we now look at what values of λ give rise to derivations which are not inner.

Theorem 2. For $|\lambda| < 1$ there exist non-inner derivations at $\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow M_n$.

Proof. We begin by noticing that the map $F : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $F(f) = f'(\lambda)$ is a continuous linear functional and hence completely

continuous [7, Corollary 2.2.3]. In particular we know that the matricial map $F_{n,\lambda} : M_n \otimes A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow M_n$, given by $F_{n,\lambda}([f_{ij}]) = [f'_{ij}(\lambda)]$ is continuous. Now as $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is a subalgebra of $M_n \otimes A(\mathbb{D})$ we know that $F_{n,\lambda}$ restricted to $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ yields a continuous linear map.

We need only show that $F_{n,\lambda}$ is a non-inner derivation at φ_λ . To see that it is a derivation we will look at F_n applied to $M_n \otimes A(\mathbb{D})$. In particular, choose two elements $f = [f_{ij}], g = [g_{ij}] \in M_n \otimes A(\mathbb{D})$. Now notice that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,\lambda}(fg) &= F_{n,\lambda} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij} g_{jk} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n [f'_{i,j}(\lambda) g_{jk}(\lambda) + f_{ij}(\lambda) g'_{jk}(\lambda)] \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [f'_{i,j}(\lambda) g_{jk}(\lambda)] \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n [f_{ij}(\lambda) g'_{jk}(\lambda)] \right) \\ &= [f'_{ij}(\lambda)] [g_{ij}(\lambda)] + [f_{ij}(\lambda)] [g'_{ij}(\lambda)] \\ &= F_{n,\lambda}(f) \varphi_\lambda(g) + \varphi_\lambda(f) F_{n,\lambda}(g). \end{aligned}$$

Restricting to $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ will not affect the derivation property and hence $F_{n,\lambda}$ yields a derivation at φ_λ .

Recall the definition of Z_i as the matrix with a z in the i -($i+1$) position for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, or the n -1 position for $i = n$ and zeroes elsewhere. For $\lambda = 0$ we see that $F_{n,0}$ is not inner since $F_{n,0}(Z_i) \neq 0$ for all i and yet $\varphi_0(Z_i) = 0$, applying Theorem 1 verifies the result.

For $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ let $f = z - (\lambda)^n$. Notice that f is an analytic function such that $f'(\lambda^n) \neq 0$ and yet $f(\lambda^n) = 0$. Now let $\tilde{f}$ be the element of $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ given by $[z^{\ell(i,j)} f(z^n)]$. Notice that $\tilde{f} \in \ker \varphi_\lambda$. However, $F_{n,\lambda}(\tilde{f}) = [(\lambda)^{\ell(i,j)} n \lambda^{n-1}] \neq 0$. The result now follows as in the case of $\lambda = 0$. $\blacksquare$

In the special case of point derivations at φ_0 we are able to show more. In analogy with a description of certain homology groups for the quiver algebras corresponding to a single vertex and countable edges in [15], we now show a certain amount of uniqueness for derivations at φ_0 .

Proposition 7. *Let D be a point derivation at φ_0 . Then D can be written as $D_0 + D_1$ where D_0 is inner at φ_0 , D_1 is a point derivation at φ_0 , and $D_1(a) \neq 0$ guarantees that $a \in \ker \varphi_0$. Further, D_1 is uniquely determined by the numbers $D_1(Z_i)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$*

Proof. Let $D : \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow M_n$ be a point derivation at φ_0 . Notice that $\text{ran } \varphi_0$ is finite dimensional and hence $\ker \varphi_0$ has a Banach space complement in $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ which we will denote by $(\ker \varphi_0)^c$. Further, every $a \in \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ can be written uniquely as $x_a + y_a$ where $x_a \in (\ker \varphi_0)^c$ and $y_a \in \ker \varphi_0$. Now there exist λ_i such that $x_a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_{ii}$ where e_{ii} is the elementary matrix with 1 in the i - i position and zero everywhere else.

We claim that if $a, b \in \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ then, with respect to the decomposition above, $\varphi_0(x_a x_b) = 0$ if and only if $x_a x_b = 0$. Writing $x_a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_{ii}$ and $x_b = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i e_{ii}$ then,

$$x_a x_b = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mu_i e_{ii}$$

and the claim follows.

Define the map $D_1 : \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow M_n$ by letting $D_1(x_a + y_a) = D(y_a)$ with respect to the above decomposition. We will use the claim in the previous paragraph to show that D_1 is a derivation at φ_0 . Linearity, and continuity are clear. We need only establish the derivation property. Now

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(ab) &= D_1((x_a + y_a)(x_b + y_b)) \\ &= D_1(x_a x_b + y_a x_b + x_a y_b + y_a y_b) \\ &= D(y_a x_b) + D(x_a y_b) \\ &= D(y_a) \varphi_0(x_b) + \varphi_0(y_a) D(x_b) + D(x_a) \varphi_0(y_b) + \varphi_0(x_a) D(y_b) \\ &= D(y_a) \varphi_0(x_b) + \varphi_0(x_a) D(y_b) \\ &= D(y_a) \varphi_0(x_b + y_b) + \varphi_0(x_a) D_1(x_b + y_b) \\ &= D_1(x_a + y_a) \varphi_0(x_b + y_b) + \varphi_0(x_a + y_a) D_1(x_b + y_b) \\ &= D_1(a) \varphi_0(b) + \varphi_0(a) D_1(b) \end{aligned}$$

and hence D_1 is a derivation at φ_0 .

Notice that $D_0 = D - D_1$ is an inner derivation since $D - D_1|_{\ker \varphi_0} = 0$. It follows that every point derivation at φ_0 can be written as an inner derivation and a derivation which sends $(\ker \varphi_0)^c$ to zero.

Notice that each derivation of the form D_1 is uniquely determined by the value on $\ker \varphi_0 \setminus \overline{(\ker \varphi_0)^2}$. A technical calculation shows us that the set $\ker \varphi_0 \setminus \overline{(\ker \varphi_0)^2}$ is given by

$$\{\lambda_i Z_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

The result now follows. ■

The previous result relies on a nice decomposition of every element of $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ which is invariant under derivations. Although we expect a similar result for the point derivations at φ_λ for all $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ we have not been able to prove such a result.

Theorem 3. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ every derivation at $\varphi_\lambda : A \rightarrow M_n$ is inner.*

Proof. We will show that $\ker \varphi_\lambda$ has a bounded approximate identity and then apply Proposition 4 and Theorem 1.

We let $\pi_n : A(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow A(\mathbb{D})$ be the contractive homomorphism induced by sending $z \mapsto z^n$. Denote the range of this map by $A(z^n)$ which matches our previous definition of $A(z^n)$. Further π_n is a contractive isomorphism onto $A(z^n)$. (We are not making any claims about contractivity of the reverse map). Notice that

$$\pi_n(\{f \in A(\mathbb{D}) : f(\lambda) = 0\}) \subseteq \{g \in A(z^n) : g(\lambda^{\frac{1}{n}}) = 0\}.$$

Further, since $|\lambda| = 1$ we know that there is a uniformly bounded net, see [3, Section 1.6],

$$\{f_\iota\} \subseteq \{f \in A(\mathbb{D}) : f(\lambda) = 0\}$$

such that $f_\iota g \rightarrow g$ for all

$$g \in \{f \in A(\mathbb{D}) : f(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

Notice that $\|\pi_n(f_\iota)\| \leq \|f_\iota\|$ and hence $\{\pi_n(f_\iota)\}$ is a bounded net in $\{g \in A(z^n) : g(\lambda^{\frac{1}{n}}) = 0\}$. Now if $g(\lambda^{\frac{1}{n}}) = 0$ and $g \in A(z^n)$ then

$$h = \pi_n^{-1}(g) \in \{f \in A(\mathbb{D}) : f(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

It follows that $f_\iota h \rightarrow h$. Now $\pi_n(f_\iota h) \rightarrow g$ and hence the ideal

$$\{g \in A(z^n) : g(\lambda^{\frac{1}{n}}) = 0\}$$

has a bounded approximate identity.

We define the net $\{F_\iota\}$ to be the diagonal matrices with $\pi_n(f_\iota)$ along the diagonals. Now $\{F_\iota\}$ is a bounded net as $\{f_\iota\}$ is. Further, $F_\iota \in \ker \varphi_\lambda$ for all ι . It is not hard to see that $\{F_\iota\}$ is an approximate identity in $\ker \varphi_\lambda$. ■

We will use this theorem to show the main result in this paper, that every $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ -valued derivation is inner.

4. DERIVATIONS ON $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$

We begin with an elementary lemma relating derivations and point derivations.

Lemma 1. *Let $D : A \rightarrow A$ be a continuous derivation on the operator algebra A . For a representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$, the map $\pi \circ D : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a continuous derivation at π .*

Proof. Since $\pi \circ D$ is a composition of continuous linear maps, it follows that $\pi \circ D$ is a continuous linear map. Now let $a, b \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \circ D(ab) &= \pi(D(a)b + aD(b)) \\ &= \pi(D(a))\pi(b) + \pi(a)\pi(D(b)) \\ &= \pi \circ D(a)\pi(b) + \pi(a)\pi \circ D(b). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\pi \circ D$ is a derivation at π . ■

Definition 6. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be a representation and $D : A \rightarrow A$ be a continuous derivation. We say that D is *locally inner at π* if $\pi \circ D$ is inner at π .

We are now in a position to tackle the main theorem of this paper. Showing that every $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ -valued derivation on $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is inner will be a simple corollary.

Theorem 4. *Let $D : \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ be a continuous derivation which is locally inner at φ_λ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, then D is inner.*

Proof. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ let $D_\lambda := \varphi_\lambda \circ D$. Then, by hypothesis, $D_\lambda(a)$ can be written as $X_\lambda \varphi_\lambda(a) - \varphi_\lambda(a) X_\lambda$ for all $a \in \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$.

Notice that as $e_{ii} \in \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ it follows that $D(e_{ii}) \in \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$. By hypothesis $D_\lambda(e_{ii}) = X_\lambda e_{ii} - e_{ii} X_\lambda$. Now $X_\lambda e_{ii} - e_{ii} X_\lambda$ is the matrix with $(-X_\lambda)_{ij}$ in the i - j position, for $i \neq 0$, $(X_\lambda)_{ji}$ in the j - i position for $i \neq j$ and 0 elsewhere. In particular, the matrix Y with 0 on the diagonal such that $\varphi_\lambda(Y_{ij}) = (X_\lambda)_{ij}$ off the diagonal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ is an element of $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$.

Recall the definition of the matrices Z_i . Now $D_\lambda(Z_i) = \lambda(X_\lambda e_{i,i+1} - e_{i,i+1} X_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ where we define $e_{n,n+1}$ to mean $e_{n,1}$. Now the i -($i+1$) entry of $D_\lambda(Z_i)$ is $\lambda(-X_{i+1,i+1}(\lambda) + X_{i,i}(\lambda))$, and hence for all i , $X_{i+1,i+1}(\lambda) - X_{i,i}(\lambda)$ defines an element of $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$, call it X_i . Now define a diagonal matrix X' by letting the i - i entry be $X_i - X_1$.

Define $X = Y + X'$ which is in $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$. Further, $D_\lambda(e_{ii}) = \varphi_\lambda(e_i i X - X e_i i)$ and $D_\lambda(Z_i) = \varphi_\lambda(Z_i X - X Z_i)$. It follows that for any $a \in \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$, $D_\lambda(a) = \varphi_\lambda(aX - Xa)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Now, every element of $a \in \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is uniquely determined by the values of $\varphi_\lambda(a)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. It follows that $D(a) = aX - Xa$ and the result is established. ■

Corollary 2. *Every derivation $D : \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is inner.*

Proof. First, since $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is semisimple we know, [9] that every derivation is automatically continuous. We know from Theorem 3 that every continuous derivation at φ_λ is inner. In particular, D_λ is continuous and locally inner on $\mathbb{T}$. ■

We remark that, as $A(\mathbb{D})$ is a special case of $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$, the above proof is an alternate approach to the fact there are no nontrivial derivations on $A(\mathbb{D})$. It would be interesting to know if the above result can be extended to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}_n}$ which is the matrix function algebra as $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{C}_n)$ with $A(z^n)$ replaced by $H^\infty(z^n)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alaimia, Automorphisms of some Banach algebras of analytic functions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **298** (1999) 87-97.
- [2] A. Browder, Point derivations on function algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **1** (1967) 22-27.
- [3] A. Browder, "Introduction to Function Algebras," W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969
- [4] K. Davidson and E. Katsoulis, Nest representations of directed graph algebras, preprint.
- [5] K. Davidson and D. Pitts, The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Math. Ann.* **311** (2) (1998), 275-303.
- [6] L. DeAlba and J. Peters, Classification of semicrossed products of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95**(4) (1985), 557-564.
- [7] E. Effros and Z.J. Ruan "Operator Spaces" Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [8] B. Johnson "Cohomology in Banach Algebras" American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1972.
- [9] B. Johnson and A. Sinclair, Continuity of derivations and a problem of Kaplansky, *Amer. J. Math.* **90** (1968), 1067-1073.
- [10] M. Jury and D. Kribs, Ideal structure in free semigroupoid algebras from directed graphs, *J. Operator Theory* to appear.
- [11] R. Kadison J. Ringrose, "Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras", American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1997.
- [12] D. Kribs and S. Power, Free semigroupoid algebras, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **19** (2004), 75-114.
- [13] V. Paulsen, "Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras" Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [14] J. Peters, The ideal structure of certain nonselfadjoint operator algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **305**(1) (1988), 333-352.
- [15] G. Popescu, Noncommutative joint dilations and free product operator algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **186**(1) (1998), 111-140.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 300 MINARD HALL, NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY, FARGO, ND 58105-5075, USA
E-mail address: benton.duncan@ndsu.edu